



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/819,939	03/28/2001	Steven Mentzer	7032/1002	2967	
29933	7590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
PALMER & DODGE, LLP			LEROUX, ETIE	LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, M	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2161		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Applicant(s) Application No. MENTZER, STEVEN 09/819,939 **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit Etienne P LeRoux 2171 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The malane Barz of this communication appears on the core sheet with the correspondence
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 August 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7-13 and 15-25</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. ☐ Other: SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY_CENTER 2100_

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/819,939

Application No.

NOTE: continuation of 2(a): Applicant's proposed amendment to claim 3 would result in the inclusion of the element(s) of claim 19 into claim 3. Furthermore, applicant's proposed amendment to claim 15 would result in the inclusion of the elements of claim 25 into claim 15. Therefore, further consideration and/or search would be required.

continuation of (2 c) Applicant argues the skilled artisan would not have been motivated to ceate a database comprising monospecific probe properties identified by flow cytometry. Examiner maintains monospecific is the general description for monoclonal antibodies and Rodwell does disclose computer searches and databases for monoclonal antibodies col 10, lines 1-10 and col 13, lines 65-68). The skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify Rodwell to produce monospecific probe properties identified by flow cytometry because Rodwell discloses a database and computer searching of monospecific probe properties.